

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,899	07/07/2003	Mei-Feng Yen	4326 EXAMINER	
7	590 11/10/2004			
Mei-Feng Yen			TSIDULKO, MARK	
P.O. Box No. 6 Junghe	o-57		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Taipei, 235			2875	
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 11/10/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M_{ℓ}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	AC
	10/612,899	YEN, MEI-FENG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Tsidulko	2875	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	July 2003.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.0	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	je
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: detail indicated by reference character [16] (spec., page 2, line 15 and further in spec.) is not *power source*, but is casing or housing which discloses a power source which is a buttery [161].

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 9 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Referring to Claims 1 and 11 a phrase "...isolated with said base" should be changed to – isolated from said base-.

Referring to Claim 9 a phrase "...base and said housing are of unity" should be changed to -base and said housing form the unity-.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2875

Claims 1-5, 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art presented on Fig.2 of the Instant Application (hereinafter "Prior art") in view of Pichel (US 3,639,751).

Referring to Claims 1, 2, 11, 17, 20 Prior Art discloses (Fig.2 of the Instant Application) a flashlight structure including:

- a base [13] having a conducting point [131] isolated from the base;
- a (LED) [11] disposed on the base and having an anode [111] electrode connecting with the conducting point and a cathode electrode (not indicated by number) connecting with the base;
- a housing [14] including the base;
- a reflector [12] disposed around the light source;
- a power source [161] having a positive terminal connecting to the conducting point [131] and a negative terminal connecting to the base.

Prior Art discloses the instant claimed invention except for heat sink.

Pichel discloses (Fig.3) a thermally dissipative enclosure for high intensity illuminator having a heat sink (housing shell) [10] having a plurality of fins [30] that serve to effectively increase the exterior surface area of the housing shell and thereby enhance the amount of heat dissipation that can be obtained by radiation from the exterior surface to surrounding atmosphere (col.2, lines 52-63).

Referring to Claims 3, 4, 12, 13, 18 and 19 Prior Art discloses (see Specification, page 3, lines 19,20; page 4, line1) that the housing and the casing of the power source are made of aluminum alloy which is heat-conducting and electric-conducting material.

Art Unit: 2875

Referring to Claim 5 Prior Art (Fig. 1) discloses a switch [17].

Referring to Claims 9, 10, 14 and 15 Prior Art discloses (Fig.2) that the base and housing form the unity. It is understood that according to the drawing, combination of the base and the housing can be obtained only by metal-injection molding process, using a base with insulating plate as an insertion (core print) in a cavity of the molding matrix.

Referring to Claim 16 Prior Art discloses (Fig.1) that the flashlight includes a cover [15] engaged with the housing and covering the light source.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the heat sink, as taught by Pichel, for the housing of the device shown by Prior Art, in order to enhance the amount of heat dissipation.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art and Pichel as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Schraefer (US 4,415,954).

Prior Art and Pitchel disclose the instant claimed invention except for a holding sleeve.

Schraefer discloses (Fig.3) a flashlight having a holding sleeve [20] made of vinyl (col.2, line 12) which is heat insulating material. The sleeve is used to permit the user to maintain a secure grip on the flashlight. It will be readily understood by those skilled in the art that the secure grip may be made of any heat insulating material well known in the art, including a rubber.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the holding sleeve, as taught by Schraefer, for the device shown by Prior Art, in order to maintain a secure grip on the flashlight.

Art Unit: 2875

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Tsidulko whose telephone number is (571)272-2384. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.T. November 4, 2004

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Tachnology Center 2800

Art Unit: 2875

Page 6